

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 44332)
 United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 163973)
 Chief, Criminal Division

NATALIE LEE (CABN 277362)
 Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
 San Francisco, California 94102
 Telephone: (415) 436-7301
 Facsimile: (415) 436-6982
natalie.lee2@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 12-00511 RS
)	
v.)	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
)	CONTINUING MATTER AND EXCLUDING
JERMAINE JONES,)	TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

On September 11, 2012, the parties made an initial status appearance before the Court. The parties requested, and the Court ordered, a continuance until October 30, 2012, on which date the parties anticipate another status conference to discuss a possible plea or motions. The stated purpose of the continuance request was to afford defense counsel adequate time to prepare and to review discovery.

The parties also requested, and the Court ordered, that the time between September 11,

2012 and October 30, 2012, would be excluded from the running of the speedy trial clock for effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that, taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this extension. The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

SO STIPULATED:

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

DATED: October 26, 2012

/S/
NATALIE LEE
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: October 26, 2012

/S/
BRANDON LEBLANC
Attorney for Jermaine Jones

For the reasons stated above, this matter is continued until October 30, 2012, for a second status conference to discuss a possible plea or motions. The Court finds that the exclusion of time from September 11, 2012 through October 30, 2012 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

SO ORDERED.

DATED: 10/26/12


HONORABLE RICHARD SLEBORG
United States District Judge